

## VIOLATIVE SALES OF PRESCRIPTION DRUGS

5941. (F.D.C. No. 43250. S. Nos. 38-129/30 P.)

INFORMATION FILED: 2-5-60, E. Dist. Mo., against George F. Huff, St. Ann, Mo.

CHARGE: Between 2-17-59 and 3-9-59, *amphetamine sulfate tablets* were dispensed twice without a prescription.

PLEA: Guilty.

DISPOSITION: 2-19-60. \$1,000 fine, plus costs, and 30 days imprisonment.

5942. (F.D.C. No. 43244. S. Nos. 50-609/12 P.)

INFORMATION FILED: 10-7-59, E. Dist. Ky., against Floyd Rollins, t/a Shamrock Texaco Service, Somerset, Ky.

CHARGE: Between 6-4-59 and 6-11-59, *amphetamine sulfate tablets* were dispensed 4 times without a prescription.

PLEA: Guilty.

DISPOSITION: 4-4-60. 1 year imprisonment.

5943. (F.D.C. No. 43710. S. Nos. 10-919/20 P.)

INFORMATION FILED: 3-28-60, W. Dist. N. Y., against Thomas R. Barnes, Cleveland, Ohio.

CHARGE: On 6-25-59, *amphetamine sulfate tablets* and *amphetamine sulfate capsules* were each dispensed once without a prescription at Ripley, N.Y.

PLEA: Guilty.

DISPOSITION: 4-18-60. Sentence of 2 years imprisonment.

5944. (F.D.C. No. 42416. S. Nos. 15-038/40 P.)

INFORMATION FILED: 1-20-59, W. Dist. Ky., against Mrs. Mildred Bolding, Franklin, Ky.

CHARGE: Between 7-8-58 and 7-9-58, *amphetamine sulfate tablets* were dispensed 3 times without a prescription.

PLEA: Not guilty.

DISPOSITION: On 5-20-59, the case came on for trial before the court and jury and was concluded on 5-21-59 with the return of a verdict of guilty by the jury. On the same day the defendant was fined \$200.

5945. (F.D.C. No. 42398. S. Nos. 23-605 P, 23-609/11 P, 23-613 P.)

INFORMATION FILED: 6-2-59, S. Dist. Calif., against Bordan's Victory Pharmacy, Inc., Los Angeles, Calif., Harry I. Resnik (president of the corporation) and Edward I. Fisher (pharmacist).

CHARGE: The information (count 1) alleged that the defendants, since 1-1-57, and continuously thereafter to the date of the filing of the information, did combine, conspire, and agree together and with each other, and with other unknown persons, to commit offenses against the United States with respect to the unlawful dispensing, repackaging, and labeling of *amphetamine sulfate tablets* while held for sale after shipment in interstate commerce into the State of California, thereby causing such drug to become misbranded; and that it was a part of the conspiracy—

(a) to dispense *amphetamine sulfate tablets* without a prescription from a practitioner licensed by law to administer such drug, thereby resulting in the drug being misbranded within the meaning of 503(b) (1);

(b) to repackage and dispense *amphetamine sulfate tablets* in unlabeled containers, such as paper bags, thereby resulting in the drug being misbranded within the meaning of 502(b), 502(e) (1), and 502(f) (1);

(c) to purchase at prices varying from \$1.10 to \$1.50 per 1,000 tablets, through wholesale channels, large quantities of *amphetamine sulfate tablets* which had been manufactured outside of California;

(d) to sell *amphetamine sulfate tablets* in large quantities to customers without a physician's prescription, at varying prices such as \$9.00 for 300 tablets, \$15 for 500 tablets, \$25 for 1,000 tablets, and \$50 for 2,000 tablets; and

(e) to deliver the *amphetamine sulfate tablets* to the customer in unlabeled paper bags and, on request of the customer, to furnish the customer with empty unlabeled paper bags or envelopes for the customer's use in further distribution of the tablets.

It was alleged further, in pursuance of the conspiracy and to effect the objects thereof, that the defendants and their co-conspirators, between 1-29-58 and 2-11-58, had several conversations with Jerry L. Howard and sold *amphetamine sulfate tablets* to Jerry L. Howard on 4 different occasions without a prescription.

The information alleged also (counts 2 to 11 inclusive) that, between 1-30-58 and 2-6-58, *amphetamine sulfate tablets* while held for sale after shipment in interstate commerce were dispensed 5 times without a prescription contrary to Section 503(b) (1); and that such tablets were also repackaged and dispensed in unlabeled paper bags resulting in the tablets being misbranded as follows:

502(b)—the drug failed to bear a label containing (1) the name and place of business of the manufacturer, packer, or distributor, and (2) an accurate statement of the quantity of its contents in terms of numerical count;

502(e) (1)—the drug did not have a label which bore the common or usual name of the drug; and

502(f) (1)—the drug did not have labeling which bore adequate directions for use.

PLEA: Not guilty by the corporation and Resnik to all counts and by Fisher to counts 1, 8, and 9.

DISPOSITION: The case came on for trial before the court and jury on 8-27-59.

During the trial the court granted a motion for judgment of acquittal on count 1. On 9-8-59, the jury returned a verdict of guilty on counts 2 to 11, inclusive. Thereafter, the court, on 10-13-59, imposed the following sentences: Corporation—\$2,500 fine; Resnik—\$2,500 fine and probation for 2 years; Fisher—\$350 fine and probation for 2 years.

5946. (F.D.C. No. 41162. S. Nos. 77-579 M, 77-582/5 M.)

INFORMATION FILED: 4-4-58, N. Dist. Ga., against Jim T. Harrison, Acworth, Ga.

CHARGE: Between 9-18-57 and 11-1-57, *amphetamine sulfate tablets* were dispensed 5 times without a prescription.

PLEA: Nolo contendere.

DISPOSITION: 7-14-58. Probation for 2 years.

5947. (F.D.C. No. 42036. S. Nos. 13-141/4 P.)

INFORMATION FILED: 11-25-58, N. Dist. Ill., against Liberty Drug Co., a partnership, Chicago, Ill., Nathan Roskin (partner), and Harmon L. Ginsberg (pharmacist).